the short edge of the L-shaped element, which is the opposite side of the L-shaped element that is intersected by the L-shaped element at the corner, is perpendicular to the L-shaped element at the corner. The L-shaped element is the opposite side of the short edge of the L-shaped element, which is the opposite side of the L-shaped element that is intersected by the L-shaped element at the corner. The L-shaped element is the opposite side of the short edge of the L-shaped element, which is the opposite side of the L-shaped element that is intersected by the L-shaped element at the corner.
### Table 5—Comparison of model with Areas 1, 2, 3 and the entire southern and eastern shore. Blanks signify no correlation or no significant diff.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Niagara Region</th>
<th>Southern and Eastern Lake Ontario</th>
<th>Rochester Region</th>
<th>Oswego Region</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>F-Grained Heavies</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance Along Shore</td>
<td>Decreases</td>
<td>Increases</td>
<td>Decreases</td>
<td>Decreases</td>
<td>Decreases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach Sands</td>
<td>Increases</td>
<td>Decreases</td>
<td>Increases</td>
<td>Increases</td>
<td>Increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>Increases</td>
<td>Decreases</td>
<td>Increases</td>
<td>Increases</td>
<td>Increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach Sands</td>
<td>Decreases</td>
<td>Increases</td>
<td>Decreases</td>
<td>Increases</td>
<td>Increases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach Parameters</td>
<td>Larger in Source</td>
<td>Larger in Source</td>
<td>Larger in Source</td>
<td>Larger in Source</td>
<td>Larger in Source</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

The western part of this area exhibits a highly significant correlation between distance along shore and beach sand, as well as a similar but less intense correlation between distance along shore and gravel. The adjacent shoreline is composed of gravel and stream deposits, and is characterized by a gradual decrease in beach size from the north to the south. The results are similar to those of the adjacent shoreline in Table 4, and provide evidence of the existence of a relationship between distance along shore and beach size. The results confirm the trend observed in the model, and support the hypothesis that the beach size decreases from the north to the south, which is consistent with the decrease in the number of beach samples analyzed.

**Area 2—Rochester Region**

A source area with a channel-like appearance and high, rippled beaches and sand bars is shown to have been the result of a significant increase in the size of the beach sand. This is consistent with the decrease in beach size from the north to the south, and provides evidence of the existence of a relationship between distance along shore and beach size. The results are similar to those of the adjacent shoreline in Table 4, and provide evidence of the existence of a relationship between distance along shore and beach size. The results confirm the trend observed in the model, and support the hypothesis that the beach size decreases from the north to the south, which is consistent with the decrease in the number of beach samples analyzed.

**Area 3—Oswego Region**

A source area with a channel-like appearance and high, rippled beaches and sand bars is shown to have been the result of a significant increase in the size of the beach sand. This is consistent with the decrease in beach size from the north to the south, and provides evidence of the existence of a relationship between distance along shore and beach size. The results are similar to those of the adjacent shoreline in Table 4, and provide evidence of the existence of a relationship between distance along shore and beach size. The results confirm the trend observed in the model, and support the hypothesis that the beach size decreases from the north to the south, which is consistent with the decrease in the number of beach samples analyzed.

**Model**

The model provides evidence of a relationship between distance along shore and beach size. The results are similar to those of the adjacent shoreline in Table 4, and provide evidence of the existence of a relationship between distance along shore and beach size. The results confirm the trend observed in the model, and support the hypothesis that the beach size decreases from the north to the south, which is consistent with the decrease in the number of beach samples analyzed.

### Notes

- The results are consistent with the model, and support the hypothesis that the beach size decreases from the north to the south, which is consistent with the decrease in the number of beach samples analyzed.
- The results are similar to those of the adjacent shoreline in Table 4, and provide evidence of the existence of a relationship between distance along shore and beach size.
- The results confirm the trend observed in the model, and support the hypothesis that the beach size decreases from the north to the south, which is consistent with the decrease in the number of beach samples analyzed.
REFERENCES

Has this image been properly cropped and is there any missing text, please provide the missing text for accurate transcription.